

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/628,214	LONNES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shane Bomar	3672	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Abandoned</u>	
(1) <u>Shane Bomar</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>J. Paul Plummer</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>25 October 2005</u>		Time: <u>9:50am</u>	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>None</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>None</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>None</i>			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Attorney Plummer because it has been more than six months since the last Office Action was mailed on April 13, 2005. Mr. Plummer stated that the Office Action was inadvertently sent back to the USPTO by the firm's mail room because it was addressed to an attorney that is no longer with the firm, although the actual mailing address was correct. Therefore, Mr. Plummer was not able to respond to the Office Action within the statutory period. The Examiner stated that a Notice of Abandonment would have to be issued since the 6-month time period has expired. Accordingly, Mr. Plummer will submit a Petition to Revive, along with a formal change of address removing the incorrect attorney's name, for the application since the abandonment was unintentional.